

### Stakeholder reflective log

An important part of the HIP pilot reviews is to include a reflective element as to how the scrutiny process has operated and in particular the usefulness (or otherwise) of the tools used. Around the country the pilots are approaching the reflective process in different ways. In Haringey we are asking that panel participants use this template to record their comments which will then be collated at a reflective meeting.

We are looking at how the models used allowed you to focus more effectively, or otherwise, on the value of scrutiny and its impact on addressing health inequalities. Please take into account the process, any documentation you received, the amount and timing of your involvement, the role of the facilitator, any difference to how you approached the review etc.

Activity	What went well	What didn't go so well	How it could have been improved
Introduction of the Marmot review and questions about impact statements (Committee meeting dated 19 Sept, item 9)			
Use of HIP wheel (Committee meeting dated 16 November)			
Impact statement discussion at meeting 8 December			
Other general comments about the review			